

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/758,932	HELD, WOLFGANG
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jonas N. Strickland	1754

All Participants:
Status of Application: Amendment

(1) Jonas N. Strickland. (3) _____.

(2) Andreas Grubert (Applicant's Representative). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2/18/04 **Time:** 4:00 pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

Obviousness double patenting rejection

Claims discussed:

33

Prior art documents discussed:

USP 5,388,406; USP 5,402,641; USP 5,240,682

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner proposed amending instant claim 33, by inserting "a support member having a wall thickness less than or equal to 140 microns", as well as "a predetermined temperature of at least 500 Deg. C", in order to place the instant application in condition for allowance, since the cited prior art fails to disclose these specific limitations. Support for the amendments are found in the specification on p. 4 and pp. 10-11..